

# Quantum friction and fluctuation theorems


Diego A. R. Dalvit  
Theoretical Division  
Los Alamos National Laboratory

Work done in collaboration with  
Francesco Intravaia (Berlin) and Ryan Behunin (Yale)

arXiv:1308.0712



# Outline of this Talk



- (Some) previous quantum friction calculations
- Atom-surface interaction: equilibrium
  - Fluctuation-dissipation vs quantum regression
- Atom-surface interaction: non-equilibrium
  - Fluctuation-dissipation vs quantum regression
  - Moving oscillator
  - Moving two-level atom

# A variety of predictions

● Mahanty 1980

$$F = -\frac{\hbar\alpha(0)}{32z_a^5} \frac{\epsilon(0) - 1}{\epsilon(0) + 1} v_x$$

● Schlaich & Harris 1981

$$F = -\frac{\alpha^2(0)e^4}{\hbar\omega_s^2 z_a^{10}} v_x$$

● Tommassone & Widom 1997 (electric dipole + FDT)

$$F = -v_x \frac{3\hbar}{2\pi z_a^5} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\partial n(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \Delta_I(\omega) \alpha_I(\omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } T = 0 \quad \Delta(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon(\omega) - 1}{\epsilon(\omega) + 1}$$

● Volokitin & Persson 2002 Same result (electric dipole + Lorentz force)

● Dedkov & Kyasov 2002-...  $dW/dt = -Fv_x$

$$F = \frac{2\hbar}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dk_x k_x \int_{-\infty}^\infty dk_y k e^{-2kz_a} \int_0^{k_x v_x} d\omega \Delta_I(\omega) \alpha_I(\omega - k_x v_x) \propto v_x^3$$

● Scheel & Buhmann 2009 two- (multi-) level atom + master equation + QRT

$$F = -v_x \frac{d^2\Omega\gamma_a}{2z_a^5} \int_0^\infty d\xi \frac{\Omega^2 - 3\xi^2}{(\Omega^2 + \xi^2)^3} \Delta(i\xi)$$

● Barton 2010 Same result SB

● Kardar et al 2013 Same result as TW+VP+DK

# Equilibrium case

- Zero temperature  $T = 0$
- Uncorrelated initial atom+field/matter

$$\hat{\rho}(0) = \hat{\rho}_a(0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_{\text{fm}}(0)$$

- Ground state atom + vacuum field/matter


- Electric field operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \hat{\mathbf{E}}_0^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^t d\tau e^{-i\omega\tau} \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_a, \omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{d}}(t - \tau) + h.c.$$

- Normal force on the atom

$$F_z(t) = \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{2i}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^t d\tau e^{-i\omega\tau} \text{Tr} \left[ \langle \hat{\mathbf{d}}(t) \hat{\mathbf{d}}(t - \tau) \rangle \cdot \partial_z \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_a, \omega) \right] \right\}$$

$$\underline{C}_{ij}(t, t - \tau) \equiv \langle \hat{d}_i(t) \hat{d}_j(t - \tau) \rangle$$


$$F_z(t) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \partial_z \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_a, t) \rangle$$



# Fluctuation-dissipation theorem

- Stationary ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ )  
density matrix of coupled system

$$\hat{\rho}(\infty) = \hat{\rho}_{\text{KMS}} \propto e^{-\beta \hat{H}}$$

(Kubo-Martin-Schwinger)

- Large time correlator  $\underline{C}_{ij}(\tau) = \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{d}_i(0) \hat{d}_j(-\tau) \hat{\rho}_{\text{KMS}} \right\}$

- Fluctuation-dissipation (FDT)

power spectrum

$$\underline{S}(\omega) = \frac{\hbar}{\pi} \theta(\omega) \underline{\alpha}_I(\omega)$$

polarizability

$$\underline{S}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \underline{C}(\tau)$$

$$\underline{\alpha}(\tau) = (i/\hbar) \theta(\tau) \text{tr} \{ [\hat{\mathbf{d}}(0), \hat{\mathbf{d}}(-\tau) \hat{\rho}_{\text{KMS}}] \}$$

- Stationary vdW-CP force

$$F_{\text{CP}} = \frac{\hbar}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\xi \text{Tr} \{ \underline{\alpha}(i\xi) \cdot \partial_z \underline{G}(\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_a, i\xi) \}$$

# Quantum regression “theorem”

🌟 **Onsager regression theorem:** *The average regression of fluctuations obeys the same laws as the corresponding irreversible process* (Onsager 1931)

🌟 **Quantum regression hypothesis** (aka “theorem”, QRT) (Lax 1963)

$$\underline{C}(t, t - \tau) \equiv \langle \mathbf{d}(t) \mathbf{d}(t - \tau) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{d}^2(t) \rangle e^{-i(\omega_a - i\gamma_a/2)\tau}$$

- Validity of QRT: weak system-bath coupling, near resonance

(Ford+O’Connell 1996)

🌟 **FDT and QRT predict different decay of correlations**


- “Short” times ( $\tau\gamma_a \ll 1$ ): exponential decay    QRT = FDT
- “Large” times ( $\tau\gamma_a \gg 1$ ): power-law decay    QRT  $\neq$  FDT

- QRT predicts the wrong vdW/CP force

$$F_{\text{CP}} = \frac{\hbar}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\xi \text{Tr} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}(i\xi) + \tilde{\underline{\alpha}}(-i\xi)}{2} \cdot \partial_z \underline{G} \right\} \quad \tilde{\underline{\alpha}}(i\xi) = (\mathbf{d}\mathbf{d}/\hbar) [(\omega_a^2 + i\xi - i\gamma_a/2)^{-1} + (\omega_a^2 + i\xi + i\gamma_a/2)^{-1}]$$

# Non-equilibrium case

$$F_{\text{fric}}(t) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{d}}(t) \cdot \partial_x \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_a(t), t) \rangle$$



Ground state atom

Prescribed motion

$$\mathbf{r}_a(t) = \begin{cases} (x_a, y_a, z_a) & \text{for } t \leq 0 \\ (x_a + v_x t, y_a, z_a) & \text{for } t > t_s \end{cases}$$



$$m_a \ddot{x}_a(t) = F_{\text{ext}}(t) + F_x(t)$$

Stationary ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) frictional force

$$F_{\text{fric}} = \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} k_x \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-i(\omega - k_x v_x)\tau} \text{Tr}[\underline{C}(\tau; v_x) \cdot \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{k}, z_a, \omega)] \right\}$$

$$\underline{C}_{ij}(\tau; v_x) = \text{tr}\{\hat{d}_i(0)\hat{d}_j(-\tau)\hat{\rho}(\infty)\}$$

# Non-eq FT and quantum friction

🔴 No general results as in the equilibrium case  $\hat{\rho}(\infty) = ???$

However, it is still possible to draw general conclusions about the frictional force in the low-velocity limit.

🔴 Non-equilibrium power spectrum  $\underline{S}(\omega; v_x) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} \underline{C}(\tau; v_x)$

$$F_{\text{fric}} = -2 \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} k_x \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \text{Tr}[\underline{S}(k_x v_x - \omega; v_x) \cdot \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{k}, z_a, \omega)]$$

🔴 Small velocity analysis: no linear-in- $v$  terms

- Contributions from  $\underline{S}_R(-\omega; v_x)$  cancel upon integration over  $k_x$
- Contributions from  $\underline{S}_R(k_x v_x - \omega; 0) \longrightarrow$  equilibrium FDT!

vdW regime:

$$F_{\text{fric}} \approx -\frac{45\hbar}{256\pi^2\epsilon_0} \alpha'_I(z_a, 0) \Delta'_I(0) \frac{v_x^3}{z_a^7} \quad \Delta(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon(\omega) - 1}{\epsilon(\omega) + 1}$$



# FTD vs QRT and q. friction

● The exact FDT predicts a stationary frictional force at zero temperature that scales (at least) as velocity cubed, *independent of the model for the atom's polarizability*.

● In contrast, QRT gives a linear-in-velocity stationary frictional force

Using the QRT for the correlator in the static case,  $\underline{C}(t, t - \tau) = \langle \mathbf{d}^2(t) \rangle e^{-i(\omega_a - i\gamma_a/2)\tau}$

$$F_{\text{fric}}^{\text{QRT}} \approx v_x \frac{d^2 \gamma_a}{3\pi} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} k_x^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\omega + \omega_a}{[(\omega + \omega_a)^2 + \gamma_a^2/4]^2} \text{Tr}[\underline{G}_I(\mathbf{k}, z_a, \omega)]$$

● However, in the weak coupling limit ( $\gamma_a \rightarrow 0$ )

$$\text{QRT} = \text{FDT}$$

$$F_{\text{fric}} \propto \exp(-1/v_x)$$

# Moving harmonic oscillator

• Dipole moment  $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{d}\hat{q}$   $\ddot{\hat{q}}(t) + \omega_a^2 \hat{q}(t) = \frac{2\omega_a}{\hbar} \mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_a(t), t)$

• Dynamic polarizability of moving atom

$$\underline{\alpha}_{ij}(\omega; v_x) = \frac{2\omega_a}{\hbar} \mathbf{d}_i \mathbf{d}_j \left[ -\omega^2 + \omega_a^2 - \frac{2\omega_a}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \mathbf{d} \cdot \underline{G}(\mathbf{k}, \omega + k_x v_x) \cdot \mathbf{d} \right]^{-1}$$

• An exact, non-equilibrium fluctuation-dissipation relation

$$\underline{S}(\omega; v_x) = \frac{\hbar}{\pi} \theta(\omega) \underline{\alpha}_I(\omega; v_x) - \frac{\hbar}{\pi} \underline{J}(\omega; v_x)$$

Non-equilibrium FDT in classical models have the same form

Chetrite et al. 2008

$$\underline{J}(\omega; v_x) = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} [\theta(\omega) - \theta(\omega + k_x v_x)] \underline{\alpha}(\omega; v_x) \cdot \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{k}, \omega + k_x v_x) \cdot \underline{\alpha}^*(\omega; v_x).$$

• Using  $\underline{S}(\omega; v_x)$  one can reobtains

$$F_{\text{fric}} \approx -\frac{45\hbar}{256\pi^2 \epsilon_0} \alpha'_I(z_a, 0) \Delta'_I(0) \frac{v_x^3}{z_a^7}$$

# Moving two-state atom

• Dipole moment  $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{d}\hat{\sigma}_1$   $\ddot{\hat{\sigma}}_1(t) + \omega_a^2 \hat{\sigma}_1(t) = -(2\omega_a/\hbar)\hat{\sigma}_3(t)\mathbf{d} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}_a(t), t)$

• No exact solution for the TSA  $\longrightarrow$  We use perturbation theory in powers of the dipole coupling

• Perturbative dynamic power spectrum

$$\underline{S}(\omega, v_x) = \frac{\hbar}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \theta(\omega + k_x v_x) \underline{\alpha}(\omega; v_x) \cdot \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{k}, \omega + k_x v_x) \cdot \underline{\alpha}^*(\omega; v_x)$$

• Perturbative dynamic polarizability

$$\underline{\alpha}(\omega; v_x) = \frac{2\omega_a}{\hbar} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d} [\omega_a^2 (1 - \Delta) - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma]^{-1}$$

$$\gamma(\omega; v_x) = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \text{sign}(\omega + k_x v_x) \mathbf{d} \cdot \underline{G}_I(\mathbf{k}, z_a; \omega + k_x v_x) \cdot \mathbf{d} \quad \Delta(\omega; v_x) = 2\text{P} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega'}{\pi} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_a^2} \frac{\gamma(\omega', v_x)}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2}$$

• Quantum frictional force

$$F_{\text{fric}} \approx -\frac{45\hbar}{256\pi^2\epsilon_0} \alpha'_I(z_a, 0) \Delta'_I(0) \frac{v_x^3}{z_a^7}$$

# Conclusions

- Atom-surface quantum friction from general non-equilibrium stat. mech.
- $\text{QRT} \neq \text{FDT}$
- Non-equilibrium FDT predicts a cubic-in- $v$  frictional force
- At high temperatures (classical limit),  $\text{QRT} = \text{FDT}$  , and linear-in- $v$  friction
- Same analysis possible for quantum friction between macroscopic bodies
- Note: all the above is valid in the *true stationary, long-time limit*, after all transients have died out. *For shorter times*, the atom-friction force is linear-in- $v$ , in agreement with (some) previous calculations